JS 44 (Rev. 10/20) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and	Casage222-224-04	1012-13M 50 DOCK	PER SHEET /DB/K comment the fiding and service to United States in Southern In-	22/22 a Padeof & 6 8	is required by law, except as	
provided by local rules of court purpose of initiating the civil d	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF T	HIS FORM.)	974, is required for the use of	the Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
Jessica Reese; 160 W. Main Street, Norristown, PA 19401				New Bern Transport Corporation(incorrectly identified as Pepsico, Inc.1111 West Chester Ave. White Plains, NY10604		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Westchester			
(E.	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			Attorneys (If Known)	Attorneys (If Known)		
Marc Greenfied-two Penn Center Plaza, Ste. 200 1500 JFK Blvd.; Phila. PA 19102			Randy C. Greene, Esquire 9 Presidential Blvd. Ste. 100, Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004			
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)			Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question		(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and P of Business In A		
t analysis on salah bu			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	6 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			I concern bearing	Click here for: Nature of S		
CONTRACT 110 İnsurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	625 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act	
120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/	of Property 21 USC 881	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment	
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability		830 Patent	450 Commerce	
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY	LABOR	840 Trademark 880 Defend Trade Secrets	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits	x 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	710 Fair Labor Standards	Act of 2016	(15 USC 1681 or 1692)	
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	Act 720 Labor/Management	SOCIAL SECURITY	485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act	
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage	Relations	861 HIA (1395ff)	490 Cable/Sat TV	
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability	740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical	862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
	Medical Malpractice		Leave Act	864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions	
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:	790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Employee Retirement	865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters	
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee	Income Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	895 Freedom of Information	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	442 Employment 443 Housing/	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence		870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	Act 896 Arbitration	
245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	871 IRS—Third Party	899 Administrative Procedure	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	Other:	IMMIGRATION 462 Naturalization Application	26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Other	465 Other Immigration		950 Constitutionality of	
	Other 448 Education	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	Actions	all the second second	State Statutes	
		560 Civil Detainee -			All the second	
		Conditions of Confinement	and the state of t			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	in One Box Only)	D 1.16 - 4	D :	16 - ()()()()	-0.14 1:15 -15	
		Remanded from 4 Appellate Court	Reinstated or Second Anothe (specify	r District Litigation		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 28 U.S.C. § 1332	tute under which you are fi	ling (Do not cite jurisdictional state	tutes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	tuse: awsuit for a motor vehicle ac	ocident	And to ask of the Land		
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2			JURY DEMAND:		
VIII. RELATED CASE	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEY OF RECORD			

Randy C. Greene

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

Nov 3, 2022

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # AMOUNT

Casa 2e22-22-04-012/151/150/sintestensite in the decimal of 8 for the eastern district of pennsylvania

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 160 W. Main Street, Norristown, PA 19401						
	ectly identified as Pepsico, Inc.) 1111 West Chester Ave. White Plains, NY 10604 and	d Shawn Day (fraudulently joined defendant)302 N. 13th Street, Phila. PA 19107				
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	n, PA					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:						
Case Number:	Judge:	Date Terminated:				
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:						
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?						
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No Pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?						
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No Verification of the same individual?						
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.						
DATE: 11/03/2022		62692				
	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)				
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)						
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction	ı Cases:				
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and2. FELA	d All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Con 2. Airplane Perso	atract and Other Contracts				
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defan	nation				
4. Antitrust 5. Patent	4. Marine Person 5. Motor Vehicle	nal Injury e Personal Injury				
6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights		l Injury (Please specify):				
7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus	—	ility – Asbestos				
9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases	9. All other Diver	ersity Cases :				
11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify):	(1 иля зресду).					
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)						
I, Randy C. Greene, counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:						
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:						
Relief other than monetary damages is so	ought.					
DATE: 11/03/2022	Randy C. Greenene if applicable	62692				
	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)				
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.						

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the EASTERN DISTRICT of PENNSYLVANIA

Jessica Reese :

Plaintiff: CIVIL ACTION

V.

:

Shawn Day

And

PepsiCo, Inc. : NO. 22-CV-

Defendants:

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

To the Honorable Judges of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Defendants, New Bern Transport Corporation, incorrectly identified as PepsiCo, Inc., hereinafter New Bern, hereby files a Notice of Removal of this action from the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, in which it is now pending, to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and in support thereof states:

A. Facts and Parties

- 1. On September 20, 2022 plaintiff initiated this action against defendants Shawn Day and Pepsico, Inc. (See exhibit A; complaint) Plaintiff served defendant PepsiCo, Inc. on October 4, 2022. See Exhibit B; Affidavit of Service).
- 2. Defendant Shawn Day has been fraudulently named as a party to defeat the defendant's right to remove to Federal Court.
- 3. Shawn Day is not an employee of the defendant and was not involved in the subject

lawsuit. Upon information known to defendant new Bern the correct employee of the defendant is a citizen of NJ.

- 4. Shawn Day has not been served and will likely never be served as his address is the Sunday Breakfast Rescue Mission, 302 N. 13th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19107.
- 5. Plaintiff alleges she suffered personal injuries in an automobile accident that occurred on April 8, 2021.
- 6. As set forth more fully below, this case is properly removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 because this action originally could have been field in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Specifically, this Court has jurisdiction over this action because Plaintiff and only the proper Defendant named in plaintiff's complaint are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

B. Diversity of Citizenship is Satisfied

- 7. Complete diversity exists between the proper parties in this action, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).
- 8. For diversity purposes, an individual is a citizen of the State in which he is domiciled.
 28 U.S.C. § 1332. As alleged in the Complaint, Plaintiff resides in Norristown, PA and therefore, is a citizen of Pennsylvania. (*See* Exhibit A, Complaint)
- 9. For diversity purposes "[a] corporation shall be deemed to be a citizen of every State and foreign state by which it has been incorporated and of the Sate or foreign sate where it has its principal place of business." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1).
- 10. Plaintiff, upon information and belief, is an induvial and citizen of the state of Pennsylvania.

- 11. Defendant, New Bern Transport Corporation, Inc. incorrectly identified as Pepsico, Inc. is a business entity registered in the State of Delaware with a principal place of business in White Plains, NY.
- 12. Plaintiff is a citizen of Pennsylvania, defendant New Bern Transport Corporation, incorrectly identified as Pepsico, Inc. is a citizen of Delaware. Thus, the parties are completely diverse pursuant to 28 U.S. C. § 1332(a).

C. The Amount in Controversy Requirement is Satisfied

- 13. The amount in controversy requirement of 28 U.S. C § 1332 is also satisfied.
- 14. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), in a case where federal jurisdiction is based on diversity of citizenship, the amount in controversy must exceed \$75,000, exclusive of costs and interest.
- 15. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(c)(2)(B), removal is appropriate if the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. In personal injury cases, where plaintiff has not averred a specific amount of damages in the Complaint, the \$75,000 jurisdictional amount is met unless "it appears to a legal certainty that the plaintiff cannot recover the jurisdictional amount." *Frederico v. Home Depot*, 507 F.3d 188, 197 (3d Cir. 2007). Accordingly, "Courts will seldom remand a personal injury claim absent a waiver by the plaintiff capping damages at \$75,000." *Avant v. J.C. Penny*, 2007 WL 1791621, at *2 (D.N.J. June 19, 2007).
- 16. Here, the Complaint alleges that Ms. Reese suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of body function and/or permanent serious disfigurement,

and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, including, but not limited to: multilevel cervical disc protrusions, cervical radiculopathy, cervical sprain and strain, lumbar sprain and strain, thoracic sprain and strain, right arm sprain and strain, left thigh sprain and strain, post-traumatic headaches, and other ills and injuries, all to plaintiff's great loss and detriment." (See Exhibit A, Compl). The Complaint further alleges that Ms. Reese is presently and may in the future suffer great pain, anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future. Given the allegations in the Complaint that Plaintiff suffered significant and permanent injuries, which required surgical intervention and amputation, it is not a legal certainty that Plaintiffs' recovery will not exceed \$75,000. See Morales v. Family Dollar, Inc., 2018 WL 3656166, at *3 (D.N.J.Aug. 2, 2018), report and recommendation adopted, 2018 WL 3972923 (D.N.J. Aug. 20, 2018) (there is no legal certainty that plaintiff cannot recover the jurisdictional minimum where plaintiff alleges severe and permanent injuries); Amadu v. Bradshaw, 2016 WL 3676474, at *3-4 (D.N.J.July 11, 2016) (allegations in the complaint that the plaintiff suffered several permanent injuries which interfered with his daily activities and required ongoing medical treatment are sufficient to demonstrate the amount in controversy is likely to exceed \$75,000); Dugan v. Acme Markets, Inc., 2016 WL 266350, at *3 (D.N.J. Jan. 21, 2016) ("personal injury cases alleging severe and permanent injuries will be removable absent proof to a legal certainty that the amount in controversy cannot exceed \$75,000"). Accordingly, the \$75,000 amount in controversy requirement has been satisfied.

D. The Procedural Requirements for Removal Are Satisfied

17. This Notice of Removal is timely under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b)(2)(B) because it was filed within 30 days after service of the Complaint on Pepsico, Inc., the incorrectly named party

that is New Bern Transport Corporation. (See Exhibit B, Notice of Service of Process (dated

October 4, 2022).

18. Upon information and belief, Defendant Shawn Day, who was fraudulently named as a

defendant, is **not** an employee of the defendant, **had no involvement** in the accident that forms

the facts of this matter and his address as listed in the complaint is a homeless shelter.

19. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a). This action is being removed to the

United States District Court for the District of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania as that is the

district court embracing the place where this action was filed and is pending (Court of Common

Pleas of Philadelphia County).

20. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), contemporaneous with the filing of this Notice of

Removal with this Court, defendant will provide notice of the Notice of Removal to Plaintiffs

and will file a copy of the Notice of Removal with the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia

County.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441 and 1446, respectfully

removes this action, which is currently pending in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia

County, to this Court and respectfully requests that the matter proceed in this Court as an action

properly removed thereto.

Dugan, Brinkmann, Maginnis and Pace

By:

/s/ Randy C. Greene

Randy C. Greene, Esquire

Attorney for Defendants

5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Randy C. Greene, Esquire, attorney for defendant, do hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Notice of Removal was served upon counsel for plaintiff by electronic mail and first class mail, this 3rd day of November 2022, addressed as follows:

Marc F. Greenfield, Esquire Spear, Greenfield, Richman, Weitz & Taggart, P.C. Two Penn Center 1500 JFK Blvd., Ste. 200 Phila. PA 19103

/s/ Randy C. Greene

Randy C. Greene, Esquire